Kinetics of Cobalt and Manganese Precipitation from Acid Mine Drainage Using Ozone Younes Shekarian, Dr. Mohammad Rezaee, Dr. Sarma Pisupati



www.PosterPresentations.con

Department of Energy and Mineral Engineering, Center for Critical Minerals, College of Earth and Mineral Science, The Pennsylvania State University

Zn	TREE
3.1	0.5
5145	1143

SOLUTION CHEMISTRY Saturation indexes of Co and Mn $-Mn_2O_3$ $-Co_3O_4$ A1: $Mn^{2+} + 2OH^{-} = Mn(OH)_{-}$ A2: $Co^{2+} + 2OH^{-} = Co(OH)_{2}$ A3: $Mn^{2+}+1/20_3+3/2H_20 = Mn00H+2H^++1/20_2$ A4: $Co^{2+}+1/2O_3+3/2H_2O = CoOOH+2H^++1/2O_2$ Aeration+ Na₂CO₃ Na₂CO₃ Na₂CO₃ Staged Precipitation 95% REE recovery **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Precipitation **Ligand Precipitation** Co-Mn Step Na₂SO₄ \times (NH₄)₂SO₄ NaOH ★ (NH₄)HCC Na₂CO₃ Stage II Stage III Stage **Oxidative Precipitation** KMnO₄ $-Na_2S_2O_8$ •••• Baseline(NaOH) Stage III **Sample Characterization** nganite | Manganese (III) Oxide Hydroxide- Mn 20 30 40 50 60 70



45 60 90 120 180 300 600 900

Co	Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
	Model	4303.47	4	1075.87	9.11	0.0013	significant
	A-Temp.	86.00	1	86.00	0.7285	0.4101	
	B-Flow rate	0.5101	1	0.5101	0.0043	0.9487	
	A ²	3027.98	1	3027.98	25.65	0.0003	
	B ²	984.15	1	984.15	8.34	0.0136	
	Residual	1416.72	12	118.06			
	Lack of Fit	1094.95	8	136.87	1.70	0.3190	not significan
	Pure Error	321.77	4	80.44			
M fl Mn	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source	5720.19 n Cond 700 (cc Sum of	16 itic ;/r	ons: nin); To Mean	emper F-value	ature = p-value	= 80 ºC
M fl Mn	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model	5720.19 n Cond 700 (cc Sum of Squares 1887.3	16 itic c/r	ons: nin); To Mean Square	emper F-value	p-value	= 80 °C
M fl Mn	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp.	5720.19 n Cond 700 (cc Sum of Squares 1887.33 501.8	16 itic ;/r	ons: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47	emper F-value 4.37 5.80	ature p-value 0.0196 0.0347	= 80 °C
M fl Mn	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate	5720.19 n Cond 700 (cc Sum of Squares 1887.33 501.8 16.1	16 itic ;/r 3 d 1	ons: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81	emper F-value 4.37 5.80	p-value	= 80 °C
M fl	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate	5720.19 n Cond 700 (cc Sum of Squares 1887.33 501.8 16.10 56.13	16 itic ;/r 3 d 1 5 3	ons: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13	emper F-value 4.37 5.80 0.1869 0.6491	p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375	= 80 °C
M fl	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate AB	5720.19 T Cond T Con	16 itic ;/r 3 1 5 3 3	DINS: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13 1 798.63	emper F-value 4.37 5.80 0.1869 0.6491 9.24	ature p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375 0.0113	= 80 °C
M fl	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate AB BC	5720.19 Cond Con	16 itic ;/r 3 1 5 3 3 1	DINS: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13 1 798.63 1 514.61	emper F-value 4.37 5.80 0.1869 0.6491 9.24 5.95	ature p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375 0.0113 0.0328	= 80 °C
M fl	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate AB BC Residual	5720.19 Cond Con	16 itic ;/r 3 3 3 3 3 1 3 1 3 1	DINS: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13 1 798.63 1 514.61 1 86.47	emper F-value 4.37 5.80 0.1869 0.6491 9.24 5.95	ature = p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375 0.0113 0.0328	= 80 °C
Mn	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate AB BC Residual Lack of Fit	5720.19 Cond Con	16 itic ;/r 3 3 3 3 1 3 1 2	DINS: nin); To Mean Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13 1 798.63 1 514.61 1 86.47 7 78.66	emper F-value (4.37 5.80 5 0.1869 5 0.6491 5 9.24 5.95 7 6 0.7856	ature p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375 0.0113 0.0328	= 80 °C significant
M fl	Cor Total n Optimum ow rate = 7 Source Model A-Temp. B-Flow rate C-Stirring rate AB BC Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error	5720.19 Cond Con	16 itic ;/r 3 3 3 3 1 3 1 3 1 2 1	DIS: nin); To Square 5 377.47 1 501.81 1 16.16 1 56.13 1 798.63 1 514.61 1 86.47 7 78.66 4 100.13	emper F-value (4.37 5.80 0.1869 0.6491 9.24 5.95 (0.7856	ature p-value 0.0196 0.0347 0.6739 0.4375 0.0113 0.0328	= 80 °C

- **REE**, and 85% of AI were recovered.
- Mn is most likely a diffusion-controlled process.
- 10.1080/08827508308952589.
- Accessed at: https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Penn State EMS **Energy Institute for providing experimental** facilities. Partial funding for this study was provided by Leonardo Technologies, Inc. (under USDOE agreement #DE-FE0022594)



PennState College of Earth and Mineral Sciences

PARAMETRIC STUDY



Response surface plots of Co-Mn Oxidative Precipitation

CONCLUSIONS

Among various ligands and oxidants, ozone resulted in the highest recovery/grade for Mn (98%/82.9%) and Co (99%/1.4%).

□ In the proposed staged precipitation process, more than 95% of Co-Mn, 95% of

D Temperature and flow rate were the significant parameters in recovery of Co, while flow rate and its interactive effect with temperature, and with stirring rate emerged as the significant parameters in recovery of Mn.

□ The activation energy values suggest that the oxidative precipitation of Co and

REFERENCES

• Fuerstenau, D.W & HAN, K.N., 1983. Metallurgy and Processing of Marine Manganese Nodules, Extractive 1-83, 1:1-2, DOI: Review.

• IEA., 2021, The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transition, International Energy Agency,

• Rezaee, M., Shekarian. Y. & Pisupati, S. V., 2022. Ozone Oxidative Precipitation of Elements from Aqueous Streams. U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 63/392,330 (pending).

• Shekarian, Y., Hassas, B. V., Rezaee, M., & Pisupati, S. V., 2022. Development of a chemical-free process utilizing ozone oxidative precipitation for the recovery of cobalt and manganese from acid mine drainage. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 10(5), 108533.



Dr. Mohammad Rezaee m.rezaee@psu.edu





John and Willie Leone Family **Department of Energy and** Mineral Engineering